



---

# RAPID LITERATURE REVIEW

## CANNABIS OR CANNABINOID PRODUCTS FOR ACUTE PAIN

**DRUG REGIMEN REVIEW CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH COLLEGE OF  
PHARMACY**

LAUREN HEATH, PHARM.D, MS, BCACP

JUNE 14, 2022

*I have no conflicts of interest to disclose*

# PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- Evidence review approach
- Expert comments on human experimental models
- Overview of evidence for use of CBPs for people with acute pain
  - Systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials
  - Randomized controlled trials
- Summary

# RAPID REVIEW METHODS

- **Objective:**
  - Identify and summarize relevant evidence to assist in decision-making by the CRRB
- **Relevant evidence:**
  - Systematic reviews (SRs) of randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) or RCTs
  - *Intervention:* cannabis, cannabis-derived products, or cannabinoids (abbreviated **CBPs**)
  - *Population:* people with acute pain
    - Pain no longer than about ~1 month
    - NOT human experimental models for acute pain

# RAPID REVIEW METHODS

- Searched 2 major databases: Medline and Embase
  - SRs: no date restriction
  - RCTs: 2020 to present (April to May 2022)
- Focus on information reported in SRs
  - Primary: measures of pain intensity
  - Assessment of risk-of-bias (ROB)/quality of included RCTs
- Perform ROB assessment for RCTs not included in a SR using the Cochrane risk of bias 2 tool<sup>1</sup>

# BACKGROUND

- Animal studies generally support potential effectiveness of CBPs for acute pain<sup>2,3</sup>
- **Mixed evidence** of efficacy of CBPs for acute pain in human experimental models
  - Neilsen et al. (5 trials): 3 with limited evidence of efficacy
    - Other 2 trials showed attenuation of opioid analgesia, and hyperalgesia<sup>4</sup>
  - Beaulieu et al.: “They are mostly negative and in 4 of them, more intense pain was reported at high doses”<sup>5</sup>
  - No benefit of oral CBD for pain intensity, allodynia or hyperalgesia in 2 additional trials<sup>6,7</sup>

# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- Identified 11 SRs containing at least 1 relevant RCT
- Total of 11 RCTs published between 1981 and 2022
  - 10 RCTs were included in a SR
  - No SR included *all* identified RCTs
- Variable research question(s) across included SRs
  - Pain of any duration (N=3)<sup>8-10</sup>
  - 4 focused on acute pain (N=2)<sup>11-12</sup> or post-surgical pain (N=2)<sup>13-14</sup>
  - Pain associated with certain conditions (ie, orthopedics [N=2]<sup>15-16</sup>, orofacial [N=1]<sup>17</sup>)
  - Potential opioid-sparing effects (N=1)<sup>3</sup>

# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- **Conclusions from SRs** *addressing* acute pain evidence:
  - The majority concluded CBPs are not better than control for analgesia<sup>8,12,13,17</sup>
  - 2 SRs reported a *possible benefit* of CBPs for acute pain
    - Pooled effect (6 trials) for reduction in patient-reported scores pain scores for CBPs vs control<sup>11</sup>:
      - MD (95%CI): -0.90 (-1.69 to -0.10),  $I^2 = 65\%$
      - Heterogeneity related to route of administration

# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- **Conclusions from SRs** addressing acute pain evidence:
  - Increased pain at rest post-surgery at 12 hours (but not 1-6 hours) for CBPs vs placebo,  $I^2 = 72\%$ <sup>16</sup>
  - Limited evidence (3 SRs) does not support a reduction in opioid use with adjunctive CBP<sup>4,13,15</sup>
  - *Safety* per acute pain focused SR (6 RCTs)<sup>11</sup>:
    - Serious AE, CBP vs control: 3.7% vs 2.65%, OR = 1.44 (95%CI, 0.60 to 3.48)
    - Dizziness: OR = 1.96 (95%CI, 1.20 to 3.20)
    - Hypotension: OR = 3.61 (95%CI, 1.02 to 12.80)



# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- **Design and risk of bias** of RCTs
  - All randomized, controlled; 1 with unknown blinding<sup>18</sup>
  - Total number of participants: 20 to 340
  - All with placebo comparator, often (n=7) with other analgesics<sup>19,21-24,27,28</sup>; 4 trials with active comparator<sup>15,21,24,27,28</sup>
  - All trials except for 2<sup>19,20</sup> were rated as having an *unclear* risk of bias (Cochrane tool) for 2+ measures<sup>4,8,11-13,16</sup>
- **Population** of RCTs:
  - Post-operative pain after various major surgeries (N=8)<sup>18,20-22,24-26</sup>
    - 1 knee arthroplasty – osteoarthritis at baseline<sup>23</sup>
  - Tooth extraction (N=2)<sup>27,28</sup>
  - Acute, non-traumatic lower back pain (N=1)<sup>19</sup>

# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- **CBP intervention** was a single cannabinoid in all trials
- Most trials administered a single CBP dose

CBP Intervention	Number of trials	Population(s)
<b>Levonantradol</b> IM x 1 dose <sup>18,25,26</sup>	3	Unknown, trauma, or renal surgery
<b>THC</b> x 1 dose, <sup>22</sup> or <b>dronabinol</b> 5 mg orally x 8 doses within 48 hr <sup>24</sup>	2	Hysterectomy, or radical prostatectomy
<b>Nabilone</b> 0.5 x 1 dose, <sup>20</sup> or 1-2 mg orally x 3 doses within 24 hr <sup>21</sup>	2	Variable major surgery, or elective surgeries (pain: secondary outcome)
<b>CBD</b> 400 mg orally x 1 dose <sup>19</sup>	1	Non-traumatic acute LBP
<b>CBD</b> topically TID x 14 days <sup>23</sup>	1	Unilateral knee arthroplasty
<b>GW842166</b> <sup>a</sup> orally x 1 dose <sup>27</sup>	1	Tooth extraction
<b>AZD1940</b> <sup>b</sup> orally x 1 dose <sup>28</sup>	1	Tooth extraction

Abbreviations: CB1, cannabinoid receptor type 1; CB2, cannabinoid receptor type 2; CBP, cannabinoid-based product; hr, hour; IM, intramuscularly; TID, three times daily;

<sup>a</sup> CB2 receptor agonist; <sup>b</sup> peripheral CB1 and CB2 receptor agonist

# RAPID REVIEW RESULTS

- **Analgesic efficacy**

- CBP not better than placebo for pain in most trials<sup>19,20,22-24,27,28</sup>
- Two trials with some degree of analgesia for post-surgical pain:
  - Both of levonantradol IM vs placebo: 1 with a significant decrease in pain,<sup>26</sup> and other with numerical decrease<sup>25</sup>
    - Active comparator outperformed levonantradol and placebo in 3<sup>rd</sup> levonantradol trial<sup>12,18</sup>
- Increased pain 9-24 hours post-operatively for highest dose of nabilone vs placebo and active comparator<sup>21</sup>
- NSAIDs, but not experimental CBPs, reduced pain versus placebo after tooth extraction<sup>27,28</sup>

# SUMMARY

- Review included 11 RCTs of 20-340 participants, primarily conducted in the acute post-operative period
- Available clinical trial evidence limited by:
  - Unclear risk of bias for 2 or more domains for most trials
  - Use of a single cannabinoid often for only 1 dose, and often administered at variable times relative to surgery
  - Other clinical and methodological heterogeneity
- The limited evidence is *inconclusive* regarding efficacy of CBPs for acute pain

Thank you

# REFERENCES

1. Higgins JPT, Savovic J, Page MJ et al. Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2). <https://sites.google.com/site/riskofbiastool/welcome/rob-2-0-tool/current-version-of-rob-2>. Accessed May 25, 2022.
2. Starowicz K, Finn DP. Cannabinoids and Pain: Sites and Mechanisms of Action. *Adv Pharmacol*. 2017;80:437-475.
3. Soliman N, Haroutounian S, Hohmann AG, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of cannabinoids, cannabis-based medicines, and endocannabinoid system modulators tested for antinociceptive effects in animal models of injury-related or pathological persistent pain. *Pain*. 2021;162(Suppl 1):S26-s44.
4. Nielsen S, Picco L, Murnion B, et al. Opioid-sparing effect of cannabinoids for analgesia: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of preclinical and clinical studies. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2022;47(7):1315-1330.
5. Beaulieu P. Cannabinoids and acute/postoperative pain management. *Pain*. 2021;162(8):2309.
6. Schneider T, Zurbriggen L, Dieterle M, et al. Pain response to cannabidiol in induced acute nociceptive pain, allodynia, and hyperalgesia by using a model mimicking acute pain in healthy adults in a randomized trial (CANAB I). *Pain*. 2022;163(1):e62-e71.
7. Dieterle M, Zurbriggen L, Mauermann E, et al. Pain response to cannabidiol in opioid-induced hyperalgesia, acute nociceptive pain, and allodynia using a model mimicking acute pain in healthy adults in a randomized trial (CANAB II). *Pain*. 2022.
8. Fisher E, Moore RA, Fogarty AE, et al. Cannabinoids, cannabis, and cannabis-based medicine for pain management: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Pain*. 2021;162(Suppl 1):S45-s66.
9. Rabgay K, Waranuch N, Chaiyakunapruk N, Sawangjit R, Ingkaninan K, Dilokthornsakul P. The effects of cannabis, cannabinoids, and their administration routes on pain control efficacy and safety: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Am Pharm Assoc (2003)*. 2020;60(1):225-234.e226.

# REFERENCES

10. Campbell FA, Tramèr MR, Carroll D, Reynolds DJ, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Are cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic review. *Bmj*. 2001;323(7303):13-16.
11. Gazendam A, Nucci N, Gouveia K, Abdel Khalik H, Rubinger L, Johal H. Cannabinoids in the Management of Acute Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research*. 2020;5(4):290-297.
12. Stevens AJ, Higgins MD. A systematic review of the analgesic efficacy of cannabinoid medications in the management of acute pain. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2017;61(3):268-280.
13. Abdallah FW, Hussain N, Weaver T, Brull R. Analgesic efficacy of cannabinoids for acute pain management after surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine*. 2020;45(7):509-519.
14. Rai A, Meng H, Weinrib A, et al. A Review of Adjunctive CNS Medications Used for the Treatment of Post-Surgical Pain. *CNS Drugs*. 2017;31(7):605-615.
15. Vivace BJ, Sanders AN, Glassman SD, Carreon LY, Laratta JL, Gum JL. Cannabinoids and orthopedic surgery: a systematic review of therapeutic studies. *Journal of orthopaedic surgery and research*. 2021;16(1):57.
16. Madden K, George A, van der Hoek NJ, Borim FM, Mammen G, Bhandari M. Cannabis for pain in orthopedics: a systematic review focusing on study methodology. *Can J Surg*. 2019;62(6):369-380.
17. Grossman S, Tan H, Gadiwalla Y. Cannabis and orofacial pain: a systematic review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2021.
18. Guillaud J, Legagneux F, Paulet C. Trial of levonantradol for postoperative analgesia. *Cahiers d'Anesthesiologie*. 1983;31(3):243-248.
19. Bebee B, Taylor DM, Bourke E, et al. The CANBACK trial: a randomised, controlled clinical trial of oral cannabidiol for people presenting to the emergency department with acute low back pain. *Med J Aust*. 2021;214(8):370-375.

# REFERENCES

20. Levin DN, Dulberg Z, Chan AW, Hare GM, Mazer CD, Hong A. A randomized-controlled trial of nabilone for the prevention of acute postoperative nausea and vomiting in elective surgery. *Can J Anaesth*. 2017;64(4):385-395.
21. Beaulieu P. Effects of nabilone, a synthetic cannabinoid, on postoperative pain. *Can J Anaesth*. 2006;53(8):769-775.
22. Buggy DJ, Toogood L, Maric S, Sharpe P, Lambert DG, Rowbotham DJ. Lack of analgesic efficacy of oral delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in postoperative pain. *Pain*. 2003;106(1-2):169-172.
23. Haffar A, Khan IA, Abdelaal MS, Banerjee S, Sharkey PF, Lonner JH. Topical Cannabidiol (CBD) After Total Knee Arthroplasty Does Not Decrease Pain or Opioid Use: A Prospective Randomized Double-Blinded Placebo-Controlled Trial. *J Arthroplasty*. 2022:doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2022.1003.1081.
24. Seeling W, Kneer L, Büchele B, et al. [Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol and the opioid receptor agonist piritramide do not act synergistically in postoperative pain]. *Anaesthesist*. 2006;55(4):391-400.
25. Kantor TG, Hopper M. A study of levonantradol, a cannabinol derivative, for analgesia in post operative pain. *Pain*. 1981;10(Suppl. 1): S37.
26. Jain AK, Ryan JR, McMahon FG, Smith G. Evaluation of intramuscular levonantradol and placebo in acute postoperative pain. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 1981;21(S1):320s-326s.
27. Ostefeld T, Price J, Albanese M, et al. A randomized, controlled study to investigate the analgesic efficacy of single doses of the cannabinoid receptor-2 agonist GW842166, ibuprofen or placebo in patients with acute pain following third molar tooth extraction. *Clin J Pain*. 2011;27(8):668-676.
28. Kalliomäki J, Segerdahl M, Webster L, et al. Evaluation of the analgesic efficacy of AZD1940, a novel cannabinoid agonist, on post-operative pain after lower third molar surgical removal. *Scand J Pain*. 2013;4(1):17-22.



# REFERENCES

29. Utah Medical Cannabis Act. Part 2. Section 201. Effective March 24, 2022. [https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title26/Chapter61A/26-61a-S201.html?v=C26-61a-S201\\_2022032420220324#26-61a-201\(5\)\(c\)](https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title26/Chapter61A/26-61a-S201.html?v=C26-61a-S201_2022032420220324#26-61a-201(5)(c)). Accessed May 5, 2022.
30. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Pain; Assessment, Non-Opioid Treatment Approaches and Opioid Management. <https://www.icsi.org/guideline/pain/>. Accessed May 25, 2022.
31. Hegmann KT, Weiss MS, Bowden K, et al. ACOEM practice guidelines: opioids for treatment of acute, subacute, chronic, and postoperative pain. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2014;56(12):e143-159.
32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Acute pain. Last reviewed May 6, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/acute-pain/about/index.html>. Accessed May 5, 2022.
33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Clinical guidance for selected common acute pain conditions. Last reviewed January 19, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/acute-pain/>. Accessed May 5, 2022.

# Extra slides

# ACUTE PAIN: NEW QUALIFYING CONDITION

- Utah Medical Cannabis Act, 26-61a-104<sup>29</sup>:
  - “Pain that is expected to last for two weeks or longer for an acute condition, including a surgical procedure, for which a medical professional may generally prescribe opioids for a limited duration...”
- Medical cannabis card expires 30 days from issue

# EVIDENCE REVIEW APPROACH

- Searched Embase and Ovid-Medline
- Used filter for for systematic reviews
  - Reviewed title/abstract for 228 possible reviews
- Focus on SRs + updated search for RCTs from 2020 to present (based on Fisher 2021 SR)
  - Reviewed title/abstract for 125 possible clinical trials
- Criteria:
  - Systematic review or overview of systematic reviews of RCTs
  - Trial of cannabis, cannabis-derived products, or cannabinoids vs any comparator
  - Acute pain disorder (primarily per review author report; or  $\leq 4$  wk)
- Not reviewed:
  - Healthy volunteers (ie, experimental pain models)
  - Non-randomized in-human data
  - Pre-clinical data

# EXPERT COMMENTS ON IN-HUMAN EXPERIMENTAL PAIN MODELS

- Neilson et al. (2022) SR: focus on opioid-sparing effects<sup>4</sup>
  - 5 within-patient randomized trials (n = 82; moderate GRADE)
  - Interventions: 2.5-20 mg dronabinol orally; 1 trial of smoked cannabis (contained THC; CBD not stated)
  - Inconsistent: increased pain (2 trials), decreased pain (2 trials), decreased affective "unpleasantness" of pain (1 trial)
  - Possible opioid-sparing effect in 1 trial; potential hyperalgesic effect of dronabinol 20 mg in 1 trial
  - Possible increased abuse liability when given with opioids (3 trials)
- Beaulieu et al. (2021) expert opinion letter<sup>5</sup>:
  - 7 studies; study drugs not reported
  - "They are mostly negative and in 4 of them, more intense pain was reported at high doses"

# ACUTE PAIN: GENERAL DEFINITION

- Pain resolving within ~4 weeks<sup>30,31</sup>
- Examples<sup>32,33</sup>:
  - Dental pain
  - Post-surgical pain
  - Musculoskeletal injuries
- General pharmacotherapy options<sup>32,33</sup>:
  - Acetaminophen
  - NSAIDs
  - Opioids
  - Gabapentinoids
  - Others per condition

# Overview of acute pain randomized, controlled trials

Study	Population (n)	Intervention	Efficacy
<b>Post-operative pain</b>			
Kantor 1981* <sup>25</sup>	Unknown surgery (n=61)	Levonantradol (0.25, 0.5 or 1 mg) IM x 1 dose vs PBO; other: N/S	+ (unknown statistical sig)
Jain 1981* <sup>26</sup>	Acute trauma or fracture surgery (n=56)	Levonantradol (1.5, 2, 2.5, or 3 mg) IM x 1 dose vs PBO; other: N/S	+
Guillard 1983* <sup>18</sup>	Renal surgery (n=100)	Levonantradol (1 or 2 mg) IM x 1 dose vs meperidine IM or PBO IM; other: noramidopurine, camylofine	Meperidine > PBO and CBP
Buggy 2003 <sup>22</sup>	Hysterectomy (n=20)	THC 5 mg orally x 1 dose vs PBO; other: morphine	+/-
Beaulieu 2006 <sup>21</sup>	Variable major surgeries (n = 41)	Nabilone (1 or 2 mg) x 3 doses vs ketoprofen vs PBO; other: morphine	Increased pain with nabilone 2 mg vs PBO
Seeling* 2006 <sup>24</sup>	Radical prostatectomy (n = 105)	Dronabinol 5 mg x 8 doses vs PBO; other: piritramide	+/-

Key: \* lack of information about approval by an Institutional Review Board or similar body; +, efficacy favors CBP over comparator (numerically or statistically); +/-, efficacy favors neither CBP or PBO

Abbreviations: CBP, cannabinoid-based product; IM, intramuscular; PBO, placebo; THC, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol; vs, versus;

# Overview of acute pain randomized, controlled trials

Study	Population (n)	Intervention	Efficacy
<b>Post-operative pain</b>			
Levin 2017 <sup>20</sup>	Elective variable surgeries, all women (n=340)	Nabilone 0.5 mg orally x 1 dose pre-op vs placebo; other: N/S	+/-
Haffar 2021 <sup>23</sup>	Primary knee OA post unilateral TKA (n=89)	Topical CBD stick (120 mg/oz) vs matched topical EO stick vs matched topical CBD stick + EO vs matched topical PBO stick, all topically TID x 14 days; other: APAP, gabapentin, meloxicam; prn opioid for mod-severe pain	+/-
<b>Dental pain</b>			
Ostenfeld 2011 <sup>27</sup>	Tooth extraction (n=123)	GW842166 (100 mg or 800 mg) x 1 dose pre-op vs ibuprofen 800 mg vs PBO; other: codeine, APAP	+/-
Kalliomaki 2013	Tooth extraction (n=151)	AZD1940 800 mcg orally x 1 dose pre-op vs naproxen 500 mg vs PBO; other: APAP	+/-
<b>Other acute pain</b>			
Bebee 2021 <sup>19</sup>	Non-traumatic LBP < 30 days (n=100)	CBD 400 mg orally x 1 dose vs PBO Other treatments: oxycodone q6h, and as needed; ibuprofen and APAP for some	+/-

Key: +/-, efficacy favors neither CBP or PBO; Abbreviations: APAP, acetaminophen; CBD, cannabidiol; N/S, not specified; OA, osteoarthritis; PBO, placebo; THC, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol; vs, versus;